



PMIX Technical Subcommittee

Date/time: Wednesday, December 15th at 3-4p ET (2-3p CT, 1-2p MT, 12-1p PT)

Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 724366885#

Conference Call Attendance

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
State Representatives:		
California	Tina Farales; Bhaskar Rudrakshala	N
Georgia	Shari Ramchal	Y
Kentucky	Julio Mendoza, Jean Hall	Y
Maine	Jennifer Marlowe	Y
Massachusetts	Rodd Kelly	N
Nebraska	Naresh Sundar Rajan, Laura Marks, Kevin Borchert	Y
Ohio	Chad Garner	N
Pennsylvania	Stan Murzynski	Y
Utah	Phil Brewster	Y
Washington	Eric Grace	Y
Other:		
Bamboo Health	Austin Lehman, Craig Wetzelberger	Y
BizTek	Diwakar Cherukumilli	N
Carradora	Mike Flanigan	N
DrFirst	Chuck Bedel	N
IJIS Institute	Ron Larsen	Y
NIC	Celena Wheeler, Christi Frick	Y
PastRx	Robert Cassidy	N
Logicoy	Fred Aabedi	Y
OpiSafe	Chris Ennis	Y
Scriptulate	Nilu Kundagrami	Y
Tetrus	Sanjay Ungarala	Y
Committee Support:		
PDMP TTAC	Patrick Knue, Don Vogt	Y
Tom Carlson Consulting	Tom Carlson	N

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

➤ Roll Call (11 for quorum)

Quorum established.

➤ Approval of Meeting's Minutes from 10-20-2021

Motion to approve minutes was made by Jennifer Marlowe (ME); seconded by Nilu Kundagrami (Scriptulate). Unanimously approved.

➤ Schema Vendor Workgroup

Jean Hall (KY) mentioned that comments on errors in the schemas were corrected and made available to the subcommittee for review at the October meeting; no additional feedback was received on the Request Schema or Response Schema by the deadline. Jean Hall (KY) reviewed the changes in both schemas.

Craig Wetzberger (Bamboo Health) mentioned that they had a concern about the inability to handle international addresses. He added that they have been unable to handle requests/responses with international addresses; i.e., Canadian provinces. Nilu Kundagrami (Scriptulate) suggested that international addresses be an addition for a future schema, instead of delaying the current proposed schema. A motion was made by Ron Larsen (IJIS) to send the request schema to the Executive Committee as presented; seconded by Naresh Sundar Rajan (NE). 10 members in favor of the motion; 0 in opposition; 0 abstentions. Motion carries unanimously. Consensus of the subcommittee was to track progress with the international address issue with Project US@ for appropriate updates in a future version of the PMIX schema.

A motion was made by Fred Aabedi (Logicoy) to send the response schema to the Executive Committee as presented; seconded by Stan Murzynski (PA). 9 members in favor of the motion; 0 in opposition; 0 abstentions. Motion carries unanimously.

Jean Hall (KY) mentioned that the Executive Committee reviewed the alternate metadata schema elements and identified each element's data type, cardinality, and constraints. A motion was made by Nilu Kundagrami (Scriptulate) to send the alternate metadata schema data elements to the Executive Committee as

presented; seconded by Ron Larsen (IJIS). 10 members in favor of the motion; 0 in opposition; 0 abstentions. Motion carries unanimously.

➤ GOAL: Hub to Hub Interoperability – Review interoperability diagram

Jean Hall (KY) asked for volunteers to start mapping out a diagram. Nilu Kundagrami (Scriptulate), Chris Ennis (OpiSafe), Celena Wheeler (NIC), Ron Larsen (IJIS), Craig Wetzelberger (Bamboo Health), and Fred Aabedi (Logicoy) volunteered. Jean Hall (KY) said that this group could begin work in January 2022. TTAC will send Doodle Poll to schedule the first call.

➤ GOAL: Multi-state health system rules sets in different states; explain challenges/opportunities

Jean Hall (KY) mentioned that work may have started on this goal, but need to research for discussion at the next meeting.

➤ Other Business

➤ Next Meeting – Wednesday, January 19th at 3-4p ET

➤ Goals

GOAL	Technical
Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing queries	1st
Hub to Hub Interoperability - Document the previous work that proved the feasibility of hub to hub interoperability. Consider whether this should be a standard.	1st
Identify functionality for data sharing hubs	2nd
Multi-state health system rules sets in different states; explain challenges/opportunities	2nd