



## PMIX Executive Committee

**Date/time:** Wednesday, February 23<sup>rd</sup> at 12-1p ET (11a-12p CT, 10-11a MT, 9-10a PT)

**Meeting Link:** [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 307546825#

### Conference Call Attendance

Region	Voting Members:				Alternate Member:	
	Attendance:		Attendance:		Attendance:	
North	Chad Garner (OH)		Haley Winans (MI)		Kevin Borchert (NE)	
East	Stan Murzynski (PA)		Jason Slavoski (DE)		Scott Szalkiewicz (CT)	
South	Stephanie Mueller (MS)		Jamie Turpin (AR)		Jean Hall (KY)	
West	Tina Farales (CA)		Darla Zarley (NV)		Vacant	

Federal:	Name:	Attendance:
Bureau of Justice Assistance	David Lewis	
<b>Executive Committee Support:</b>		
PDMP TTAC, IIR	Patrick Knue	
PDMP TTAC, IIR	Don Vogt	
<b>Guests:</b>		

## Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

### ➤ Roll Call

Quorum achieved

### ➤ Approval of Minutes from 1-26-2022

Jean Hall (KY) motions to approve the minutes

Kevin Borchert (NE) seconds

Motion passes

### ➤ Subcommittee Updates – Minutes Review

#### • Operations Subcommittee – Kevin Borchert (NE)

Kevin Borchert (NE) -reviewed and completed first goal (determine functionality of a data sharing hub). Jean Hall (KY) is working to find a security expert. Committee voted to move the goal with recommendations to. Group reviewed the recommendations.

The group provided a list of improvements for data sharing hubs and not standards

Chad Garner (OH) stated that the list should be a minimum requirements document for data sharing hubs.

Jean Hall (KY) thinks it should be both (minimum requirements and extra functionality)

Jean Hall (KY) suggested to send back to the committee to create a baseline functionality and identify future functionality.

After discussion, Kevin Borchert (NE) will take it back to the committee to have them expand upon this goal to provide a list of baseline functionality.

#### • Technical Architecture Subcommittee – Jean Hall (KY)

Jean Hall (KY) provided the update. The meeting was postponed for this month. The group will create a survey to identify challenges and opportunities for multi-state health systems. Also, developing a diagram on interoperability as it exists today.

#### • Standards Compliance Subcommittee – Chad Garner (OH)

Chad Garner (OH) provided an update. The group did not meet. The only members that are still around and meet are Chad Garner and Jean Hall. Chad asked for suggestions on how to reach out to get more people. Jean Hall (KY)

suggested some from the operations committee to be on this group to gain a better understanding. Chad Garner (OH) suggest getting a representation from a data sharing hub. Jason Slavoski (DE) liked the idea to send out a mass email.

Chad Garner (OH) wanted to start a group to review the PMIX bylaws.

### ➤ Project US@ Discussion

Kevin Borchert (NE) provided an update. Carmon Smiley asked if PMIX would like to participate in the ProjectUS@. ProjectUS@ was started about a year ago and is trying to improve patient matching based on patient address (USPS publication 28). It was a large work group including representation from both EHRs and ASAP. This group provided a lot of nuances on how to handle addresses including how to handle abbreviations such as dr. vs. drive, and out of use addresses including military addresses and Puerto Rico address.

Through PMIX, we can encourage and support the use of this to pharmacies software vendors. We could even speak to the PDMP vendors about implementing these changes.

Jean Hall (KY) felt that this does a better job on how to standardize address compared to the USPS guidelines.

### ➤ Subcommittee Participation by Executive Committee Members

Chad Garner (OH) recommended that executive committee members join a subcommittee if they are not already.

### ➤ Review Goals

The committee reviewed the goals. There was discussion about the minimum standards of functionality of a PDMP. Tina Farales (CA) asked whether this is a good goal of the PMIX committee. Kevin Borchert (NE) suggested there would be an addition of how interoperability is connected. Chad Garner (OH) feels this would be used for determining what an actual PDMP is.

The group was unable to get through all of them. Chad Garner (OH) suggested the group review them offline.

➤ Other Business

No other business

➤ Next Meeting: Wednesday, March 23<sup>rd</sup> at 2-3p ET

➤ Action Items