



PMIX Executive Committee

Date/time: Wednesday, September 28th at 12-1p ET (11a-12p CT, 10-11a MT, 9-10a PT)

Meeting Link: [Microsoft Teams](#) **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 307546825#

Conference Call Attendance

Region	Voting Members:				Alternate Member:	
	Attendance:		Attendance:		Attendance:	
North	Chad Garner (OH)	X	Haley Winans (MI)		Kevin Borchert (NE)	X
East	Stan Murzynski (PA)	X	Jason Slavoski (DE)		Scott Szalkiewicz (CT)	X
South	Stephanie Mueller (MS)	X	Jean Hall (KY)	X	Ashley Carter (VA)	X
West	Tina Farales (CA)	X	Darla Zarley (NV)	X	Jeff Henrie (UT)	X

Federal:	Name:	Attendance:
Bureau of Justice Assistance	David Lewis	
Executive Committee Support:		
PDMP TTAC, IIR	Patrick Knue	X
PDMP TTAC, IIR	Don Vogt	X
Guests:		

Conference Call Agenda/Minutes

- Roll Call
 - Quorum achieved
- Approval of Minutes from 7-27-2022
 - Jean Moves to approve. Kevin Seconds. No comments. Motion passes unanomuslyt.
- Subcommittee Updates
 - Operations Subcommittee – Kevin Borchner (NE)
 - Kevin gave the update. No Quorum, so not able to approve the minutes. They were postponed to October. Discussed patient matching workgroup and the proposed ASAP recommendations around patient matching (standardizing patient names, and Project USA) Discussion to change gender to birth sex (NCPDP Standard) Asl add race and ethnicity fields.

Jean asked how the group feels about the gender issue. Jeff feels that it is used in patient matching and it may need to be changed. Kevin stated that we need to look at national. While NCPDP is a standard ASAP does not have to adopt that standard. Nebraska uses a gender field for patient matching. Jean asked if you combine that with anything else? Kevin said yes, multiple patient demographics are used.

Jean asked about the bamboo states as well? Chad was not sure how bamboo uses it, but he does and combines it with name. Jean thinks gender helps with false positive but will reach out to Chad to discuss further.

Kevin stated discussed that gender identity was also discussed but does not feel that this would not be good to pursue at this point. There has also been a discussion of adding a patient preferred name, which could help with patient matching. There was also a discussion of capture discount cards.

Kevin asked about discussing the meeting of quorum. Chad said we could discuss it now. Kevin stated that the subcommittee has had an issue with achieving quorum for a while. Last time this has occurred,

Pmix reached out to them to request them to have a representative. Kevin also suggested we reduce what “quorum” is so that it is easier to achieve. Chad state quorum is currently tightly defined so it makes it difficult to change. Chad and Jean discussed the new bylaws and how it would ease the changing of quorums for subcommittee. Pat searched for a way to remove voting members, but removal is only with the executive committee and not the subcommittee. There was a discussion about the new bylaws and the differences between member and guest. Chad said that for now, we should just do outreach, and if we go to the new bylaws we could pursue further.

Kevin said the group is almost done with 2 of the goals.

- Technical Architecture Subcommittee – Jean Hall (KY)

Jean gave the update. She presented the Interoperability Diagram. She gave a brief over of it. She stated there was a lot of confusion about the interoperability of data sharing and a group has been working on an educational document. Jean feels it is still too technical and would like an even simpler version. Tina agrees, a layperson version would be beneficial. Kevin was ok with this version.

- Standards Compliance Subcommittee – Chad Garner (OH)

Chad gave the update. The group did not meet and are waiting on the work from the technical arhcitivcure subcomitte.
- By-Laws Workgroup – Chad Garner (OH)

The group did meet and sent a proposal to executive committee.

The executive comitte decided to go through the document

 - Definitions
 - Reorganized the defitions
 - Re-defined
 - Organizations -expanded Pmix beyond PMPs including vendors, intermediary vendors and federal agencies.

- Discuss from the Group
 - Kevin is supportive of adding system vendors to the organization but thinks they should be non-voting member and keeping member PDMPs as voting members. Chad said this was done to strike a balance to get more expertise from vendors.
 - Jean feels that other stakeholders, such as NAPB, that don't fit any of these definitions. Since we took advisors away, they would not participate. Chad said that said that we still have guests. Jean suggested adding advisors to subcommittees. Chad suggested broadening the 'federal agencies' but keep a definition. Kevin suggested having both the hub vendor and supporter of the hub on the organization.

Discussion will continue at our in person meeting this month.

All will be in-person, but scot and tiffany

Jean asked if we could share this with our subcommittee. Chad thinks it would be ok, but think it may be better do so after the in-person meeting.

- Goal 1 – Create a minimum standard of functionality of a PDMP
 - Review existing model acts
- Goal 2 – Promote and provide education of PMIX organization
 - Webinars
 - Flyers
- Other Business
 - In-Person Meeting – November 15th, Tulsa, OK
- Next Meeting: Need to reschedule or cancel due to NASCSA meeting

➤ Action Items