

## **PMIX Operations Subcommittee**

**Date/time:** Tuesday, April 13, 1-2p ET (12-1p CT, 11a-12p MT, 10-11a PT) **Meeting Link:** Microsoft Teams **Dial-in:** 850-739-6261 **Meeting ID:** 951243620#

## **Conference Call Attendance**

Affiliation:	Name:	Attendance:
State Representatives:		
California	Tina Farales	Υ
Delaware	Jason Slavoski	N
Florida	Erika Marshall	N
Georgia	Vlad Schorstein	Υ
Kentucky	Jean Hall	Ν
Maine	Jennifer Marlowe	Υ
Missouri	Haley Alder	N
Nebraska	Kevin Borcher	Y
New York	Alexandra Bontempo, Shirley	Υ
	Madewell	
Washington	Eric Grace	Υ
Other:		
Appriss	Sheila Sullivan	N
BizTek	Denise Robertson	N
NABP	Danna Droz	Y
NIC	Celena Wheeler	N
OpiSafe	Colin Benjamin	Υ
Scriptulate	Neil Chatterlee, MD	Υ
Sherry Green & Associates	Sherry Green	Υ
Committee Support:		
CDC	Wes Sargent	N
ONC	Carmen Smiley	N
PDMP TTAC	Patrick Knue, Jim Giglio	Υ

## **Conference Call Agenda/Minutes**

- Roll Call (9 for quorum)
  Quorum established.
- ➤ Approval of Minutes from 3-9-2021

  Motion to approve the minutes was made by Vlad Schorstein (GA); seconded by Eric Grace (WA).

  Unanimously approved.
- Subcommittee Goal #1: Identify Functionality for data sharing hubs Finalize
  - Expand current schema to improve audit trails to track request to requestor and/or master account level (if delegate made request) (GA and CA)
  - Data clean-up to increase match rates (ONC)
  - Provider repository (NE and ME)
  - Transparent and seamless interaction between existing hubs without requiring the user to select a hub (FL)
  - Interoperability between hubs
  - Improve search capabilities of audit trail information (KY)
  - Robust data security of information (GA)
  - Data translation between various versions of standards to move data across systems (ONC)
  - Dynamic dashboard detailing agreements (e.g., state worksheets) between state PDMPs (GA)
  - Allow data provenance (e.g., meta-data) to know when last updates were made (e.g., name changes) to help reconcile information across systems (ONC)
  - Allow for additional data to be used within current security and confidentiality parameters (second call for information) (ONC)
  - Central patient repository used to only check appropriate sources that might have additional information for matching (NIC)

Kevin Borcher (NE) reminded subcommittee of past efforts working on this list of high level functionality requirements for data sharing hubs. He recommended that minutes (January and February 2021) from discussion be included when submitting the list to the Executive Committee.

Robust data security of information – Vlad Schorstein (GA) ensure data safeguards are easily visible and adjustable regardless of hub being used. For example, if one state has more stricter

security protocols in place, then their standard is used when sharing with a state that has less strict security protocols. Kevin Borcher (NE) suggested that in the state data sharing agreements include provisions for security (i.e., data storage as a .pdf file only). He added that the hubs should consider developing two-factor authentication for users to access the systems. Vlad Schorstein (GA) emphasized that the level of security should be established by the states.

Data translations between various versions of standards to move data across systems (i.e., NCPDP, ASAP, HL-7) – Kevin Borcher (NE) suggested that the group should consider 'future state' of existing and future hubs/systems allowing interoperability. He added that there would need to be mapping, but does that require a single data engine to do the translation or each system need their own translation capability? He suggested that this issue be considered by the PMIX Technical Subcommittee.

Dynamic dashboard detailing agreements between state PDMPs — see above discussion on security protocols. Vlad Schorstein (GA) suggested having a more dynamic administrator console than just being able to view the other states capabilities. Shirley Madewell (NY) would like to have a dashboard to see the other states' agreements. Kevin Borcher (NE) would like the agreements automatically updated and available online when changes are made with the states notified if the change(s) potentially impact any state. Shirley Madewell (NY) suggested the dashboard also include which hub(s) a state is using and with which states they are engaged in data sharing and, perhaps, a notice is there are any hub issues occurring at a given time. Kevin Borcher (NE) suggested that a listing of 'preferred hubs' be included for each states profile; he is unsure the best way to accomplish — either with the PDMP systems or via the hubs. He suggested that this should also be discussed with the PMIX Technical Subcommittee and the PDMP software vendors.

Kevin Borcher (NE) felt the last three items are somewhat related:

- Allow data provenance to know when last updates were made to help reconcile information across systems
- Allow for additional data to be used within current security and confidentiality parameters
- Central patient repository used to only check appropriate sources that might have additional information for matching

Danna Droz (NABP) asked if the hubs would be able to open the patient packets? She stated that the hubs were developed to ensure that the hubs would not be able to have access to that information; so, this would be a function of the PDMP software vendors. The subcommittee's consensus was that the metadata be only available to be opened at the PDMP level. Further discussion on these three topics is needed before including them in the recommendations.

Kevin Borcher (NE) suggested that the technical developers would be responsible for determining how these items could be implemented. He asked for a vote from the subcommittee members on which items to submit to the Executive Committee for consideration. A motion to approve the list was made by Vlad Schorstein (GA); no second. Motion died. The subcommittee decided to review and discuss at the next meeting.

Subcommittee Goal #2: Explore best practices in EHR integration/interstate data sharing

Not covered due to time.

PMIX Website Not covered due to time.

- Next Meeting Tuesday, May 11<sup>th</sup> at 1-2p ET
- > Action Items